

years Is uncertain, but we can hardly doubt that the period was determined by astronomical considerations. According to one view, It was based on the observation of Saturn's period of revolution round the sun, which is, roughly speaking, thirty years, or, more exactly, twenty-nine years and one hundred and seventy-four days.¹ According to another view, the thirty years' period had reference to Sinus, the star of Isis. We have seen that on account of the vague character of the old Egyptian year the heliacal rising of Shins shifted its place gradually through every month of the calendar.² In one hundred and twenty years the star thus passed through one whole month of thirty days. To speak more precisely. It rose on the first of the month during the first four years of the period : It rose on the second of the month in the second four years, on the third of the month in the third four years ; and so on successively, till In the last four years of the hundred and twenty years it rose on the last day of the month. As the Egyptians watched the annual summer rising of the star with attention and associated it with the most popular of their goddesses, It would be natural that Its passage from one month to another, at intervals of one hundred and twenty years, should be the occasion of a great festival, and that the long period of one hundred and twenty years should be divided into four minor periods of thirty years respectively, each celebrated by a minor festival.³ If this theory of the Sed festivals is correct, we should expect to find that every fourth celebration was distinguished from the

rest by a
 higher degree of solemnity, since it marked the
 completion
 of a twelfth part of the star's journey through
 the twelve

text is lost, the demotic version of the *Chronologie* (Berlin, 1908), pp. 43 *stj*. words is "master of the years of the (*Abhandlungen der konigl. Akadcmic Sed festival.*" See R. Lepsius, *op, der Wissenschaften votu Jahre 1907*); *cit.* pp. 161 *sq.*; W. Dittenberger, *id., G&schichte dcs Altertums*^ i. 2. *Orientis Graeci Inscriptiones Selectae*, pp. xix. 130. No. 90, line 2 (vol. i. p. 142); A. i This was Letronne's theory (R Moret, *op. at.* 260. However, the Lepsius, *op. cit.* p. 163). kings appear to have sometimes celebrated the festival at much shorter ^{bee above>} PP- 24 W-» 34 *sqq.* intervals, so that the dates of its re- ³ This was in substance the theory cnrrrence cannot safely be used for of Biot (R. Lepsius, /.<.:.), and it is the chronological purposes. See Ed. view of Professor W. M. Flinders Petrie Meyer, *Nachtrdge zur agyptischen {Researches in Sinai*^ pp. j'j6 s\$<f.).